1							
1		STATE OF MICHIGAN					
2	IN THE CIR	CUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF SAGINAW					
3							
4	MELISSA JAYNE CAL	ICE,					
5	Plaintiff,						
6							
7	vs.	File No. 12-017215-DZ-1					
8	RICHARD ANTHONY CALICE, JR.,						
9	Defendant.						
10		/					
11		HEADING					
12	HEARING						
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRED L. BORCHARD, CIRCUIT JUDGE						
14	Sagina	aw, Michigan - October 8, 2012					
15	APPEARANCES:						
16							
17	For Plaintiff:	THOMAS D. BURKHART (P25509) Burkhart, Picard, Tiderington & McLeod					
18	,	820 N. Michigan Avenue P.O. Box 6055					
19		Saginaw, MI 48608 (989) 753-4441					
20							
21	For Defendant:	THOMAS J. DEMETRIOU (P12663)					
22		Demetriou and Associates, P.C. 4805 Towne Centre Road, Suite 101					
23		Saginaw, MI 48604 (989) 793-6440					
24							
25	Reported:	TRACY M. STEMLER, CSR-4023 Official Court Reporter					
	, ,						

	ii .					
1			I N D	ΕX		
2						
3	WITNESSES:	PLAINTIFF				
4	None					
5						
6	WITNESSES:	DEFENDANT				
7	None					
8						
9						
10	EXHIBITS:				MARKED	RCVD
11	None					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20 -						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	II I					

Saginaw, Michigan
October 8, 2012

THE CLERK: All rise. Circuit Court is back in session.

THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you.

If we could have appearances, please, counsel, and be brought up-to-date.

MR. BURKHART: Good afternoon, your Honor. Thomas Burkhart and Timothy McLeod for the plaintiff.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Your Honor, Tom Demetriou on behalf of the defendant, Tony Calice.

THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.

MR. BURKHART: Judge, this matter was on your docket this morning for the defendant's motion for summary disposition based on multiple issues with regard to the Court's jurisdiction.

During the course of the day, we've worked out a temporary custody and parenting time order that will be entered until both the state of Maryland has acted and this Court has the opportunity to both communicate with a judge from the Maryland court, and if we can work out scheduling issues, conduct an evidentiary hearing on the leftover jurisdictional issues before you.

My understanding of the agreement that's to

be incorporated in an order is that there will be a brief recitation of this Court's authority to enter a temporary order, that it is in fact a temporary order, reserving the jurisdictional issues here in Michigan and recognizing the procedures in the state of Maryland, that in terms of a schedule, presumably we can get everything wrapped up paperwork wise.

Father will have an opportunity to see the children today from after school, and we are now, unfortunately, after school but as soon as we can dismiss these proceedings, until this evening or bed time, and then tomorrow will begin a two-week parenting time, which will be October 9th to October 23rd. The condition here is that Rocco, their son, and the oldest child, he will not miss school, and his school hours run from 7:40 in the morning to 2:30 in the afternoon.

There will be parenting time at Thanksgiving, again presuming this matter -- the jurisdictional issues and any other supplemental orders that might be issued for Christmas parenting time -- I don't know what we ended up with in terms of time, but the Thanksgiving holiday will be with Father to return, I guess it would be, that Sunday since school resumes on Monday. You know, we're looking for in the afternoon, mid-afternoon. I wrote down 3:00 p.m. -- I don't know

if that's what the Court intended -- and similarly at Christmas, from Christmas Day, I think is where we were, to January 1st and, again, mid-afternoon on the exchange times with the exception of those periods that we've identified for being in the state of Maryland, which is Thanksgiving and Christmas. The children aren't to be removed from the state of Michigan for any other purposes.

Neither parent is to discuss the litigation, the children's role in the litigation, their particular positions in the litigation here or in Maryland, and neither parent's to make disparaging remarks about the other parent.

My understanding is that I will draft the order as soon as I return to the office, get it to Mr. Demetriou either by email or fax yet this afternoon. We are aware of the Court's scheduling, but we will get it to chambers at least by the end of the day today with any luck.

THE COURT: And for the record, if you'd state what's being done to contact the Court in Maryland and the Judge out there.

MR. BURKHART: Judge, there -- the proceedings in Maryland were initiated by the defendant of these proceedings. Melissa has filed a motion

seeking a -- the Maryland court to decline exercise jurisdiction on the basis of not -- for non-convenience. That matter has not yet been responded to by Defendant's counsel here in the Maryland court. 5 Both sets of attorneys or both sides have 6 reported to you that their communication with 7 8 9 10

to various dockets from day to day.

1

2

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

corresponding Maryland counsel is that Maryland seems to have a system much different than our own. Unlike here where you are assigned the case and this is your case, Maryland doesn't have that. They have a multitude of dockets, and various judges are assigned

With communication with Maryland Counsel during the course of the day today, we're attempting on both sides to get Maryland's counsel to get a judge in the Maryland court system to kind of latch on to this Then the plan would be to set a date and time for the two courts to communicate with one another. Each court would advise respective counsel in each state so that we could be present and participate in the conferences with -- between the two courts, and I understand our participation is quite limited, but at least we have the opportunity to be present.

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Demetriou.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Yeah, your Honor. I think there is a few things that need to be clarified.

First of all, in case this matter is still pending before the Court, in addition to the times that we talked about, we talked about every other weekend Friday, Saturday, and Sunday overnight with the understanding Rocco would be taken to school by his dad. I'm not sure how often that can occur, this every other weekend. Hopefully Mr. Calice will be able to come, you know, in these other periods while the case is pending, so that wasn't stated. Maybe that was an oversight, but that was our request.

As far as Christmas, the vacation period -THE COURT: Let me stop you here. The only
thought I have is if he can't make it, I would ask that
there be something in there that he gives reasonable
notice so Mom knows whether or not --

MR. DEMETRIOU: Sure.

MR. BURKHART: Judge, in that vein, Mr. Demetriou is correct. We have agreed on alternate weekends on these gap periods. We've also agreed that the face time can continue. In the periods of extended time with Dad, we presume and assume that the same courtesy would be expended, that the kids would have some contact with Mom versus -- be it face time or one

of the other -- I guess in our house it's Skype -- direct communications via the internet.

MR. DEMETRIOU: That's agreed.

Just further, your Honor, the Christmas vacation period, it's very possible that Mr. Calice may want to take the kids to Maryland during his period. I don't know that he's going to do that, but he wants the luxury of deciding if that's economically or otherwise feasible, so that -- I thought you said that they weren't going to leave the state around Christmas, but --

MR. BURKHART: No. No, other than these two periods; Thanksgiving and Christmas --

MR. DEMETRIOU: Okay.

MR. BURKHART: -- they're not to leave the state.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Thank you. Also, your Honor, we didn't talk about it specifically, but I think it's implicit in our agreement, and that is that there be joint legal custody so Mr. Calice can, you know, stay abreast of important decisions that Mom wants to make on behalf of the kids.

THE COURT: I would think on a temporary basis.

MR. BURKHART: I guess on a temporary basis,

I guess the only thing I have reservations about,

Judge, is one of the cases earlier on your docket and
the fact these folks' principle residence are quite a
distance apart.

On our part, Melissa has and will continue to notify Dad of the identity of the doctors, the school schedules, the sports schedules. If there is any issue whether that's being done, we can do it through Counsel, but I don't think the issue --

MR. DEMETRIOU: We are not trying to change whatever Mom has done since she's been here in Saginaw, but the point is she's done a lot of things without even telling her husband after the fact, so I think to give us fair warning that she wants to do something, let us have our input. That's all I'm asking.

I'm not saying if we have joint legal custody, that Tony wants to revisit everything that's been done for the kids while they have been here, so I just -- going forward, if something's going to be done, we'd like to know about it.

THE COURT: I think that's reasonable.

MR. DEMETRIOU: One other thing, your Honor.

I know that we are not arguing custody right now, and whatever, but I'd like it stated on the record that we do not agree that the kids being here establishes the

custodial environment. So, in other words, if we do have to fight here, I'm certainly going to want my prerogative to contest any claim --

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. DEMETRIOU: -- that because the kids are here, there's an established custodial environment, because that increases my burden of proof.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Thank you.

THE COURT: The only thing I would ask the parties, and I don't want to sound rude, but I don't want anybody holding up driver's licenses and I don't want Mom -- I think there was an issue that came up with Mom -- what was that -- about getting the kids involved, or whatever. It's hard enough for -- what was that we were talking about in chambers?

MR. BURKHART: Soccer.

THE COURT: No. No. There was something else that when -- we were talking about the fact Dad held up his license and said you're living here and Mom had said something to the kids, or whatever, as I understood. Do you remember what it was?

MR. DEMETRIOU: Well, there was -- if you're talking about the time when my client's talking to his five-year-old son and said we live in Michigan, Dad,

get over it, and my client just concluded that there must have been a lot of discussion in the household, yet he's being accused of, you know, trying to do the same thing, so --

THE COURT: Don't -- don't -- either one of you, don't read too much. All I want to say is if you want to light my fire, either one of you, then get the kids involved because that's going to really impact on what I'm going to do. I don't want these children involved in the dispute.

The fact that you two might not get along or hate each other's guts for all I know, I don't want those children involved in that. You two have got to work together in that regard.

If she needs your support, you've got to be able to support the kids, and this may come along later when the children are older when they say, well, I'm leaving you, I'm going back with Mom, and you've got to be able to stand up and say no, this is your dad's time for visitation, you've got to go with him, or vice versa. That's bad.

And the only thing that's probably worse than that is using the kids to spy on one another, what's Mom doing or what's Dad doing or who they going out with, or whatever. Just -- I'm telling you from all

the experience that I've had, just don't do it. I mean this process is hard enough.

MR. DEMETRIOU: On that point, your Honor, may I make --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DEMETRIOU: -- just a comment? I've been led to believe --

THE COURT: And I'm not pointing at either one of you. I just want you to know where I'm coming from.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Understood, your Honor.

One other thing. I've been led to believe that when my client Skypes or talks to the children, there's an audio transcript or something made of when he's talking to them, which I think is -- sends a bad message to the kids if they even hear that that's happened or if they watch it being happened.

And if my client allows -- is aware that Melissa is Skyping with the kids, I don't want him making a transcript of what they're talking about.

THE COURT: Well, I'd ask that not be done.

If it has been done, don't do it anymore.

MR. BURKHART: Judge, looking at the clock and realizing it's now after 3:30 and knowing the Court's schedule today, would the Court address the

fact that even if we do not have the signed writing, that we turn the children over today?

THE COURT: That's fine, yes, absolutely.

MR. BURKHART: It is pursuant to the order
the Court is entering and that there would be
consequences if the letter or spirit of the agreement
that we have placed on the record were violated.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Your Honor, there will be no Calice family wagon train going to Maryland tomorrow, I can assure you of that, or today.

THE COURT: You both have very competent counsel, and I mean that sincerely. Work -- try to work these things out as best you can for your sanity and the expense on it. It will pay off better in the long run. If you can't, I'm not mad that I've got to decide something, but, again, I don't want the kids involved in this.

MR. DEMETRIOU: One more thing, your Honor. I think Counsel was gratified to hear your suggestion that when you do talk to the Court in Maryland, that you would give us a chance to be present.

THE COURT: Yes, absolutely. Yeah. I'd like to have you there so if -- if you get something set up, if you can, to the extent you're able to or the other

judge is able to work that out with my staff, I would like them to understand that I would like the attorneys present when we -- or at least available. If the other said I don't want them in the room when he or she and I are talking, that's fine, but I would like you here in case there is questions that come up.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Thank you.

MR. BURKHART: Judge, although it's a uniform statute, there are differences between Michigan's version and Maryland's version. My reading of the Michigan version is that counsel has a right to participate.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I think you're right. That's why I've asked that you be available to be present. All right. I think we all know where we are headed. Good luck to both of you.

MR. DEMETRIOU: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. BURKHART: Thank you, your Honor.

(Proceedings adjourned.)

9			
1	STATE OF MICHIGAN)	
2) SS	
3	COUNTY OF SAGINAW)	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	I certi:	fy that this transcript is a complete,	true
9	and correct transo	cript of the proceedings and testimony	
10	taken in this case	e before the Honorable Fred L. Borchard	,
11	Circuit Judge, in	Saginaw, Michigan.	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17		Tracy M Stemler, CSR-4023	
18	,	Official Court Reporter 111 South Michigan Avenue	
19		Saginaw, MI 48602	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			